	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/776,086	SELWYN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rudy Zervigon	1763
All Participants:	Status of Application	on:
(1) <u>Rudy Zervigon</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mark N. Fitzgerald (505.665.5187).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>26 October 2005</u>	Time: <u>16:20</u>	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Appl Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: .	licant's representative)	
Part I.		•
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 26-29		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN I conveyed to Mr. Fitzgerald that the newly added claims appeal and previous arguments. Mr. Fitzgerald agreed to cancel the	eared to remain unpatentable	in view of the Examiner's applied prior
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separat directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separat did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	The examiner will provide e record of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
		·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)